

For our CCC2 delegation, we have met a few times also, but in addition to a few pages of thoughts, we have three basic recommendations which we will be making to Classis as a result of being delegated to the CCC2. They are:

**Recommendations:**

**1. Appreciate the positive achievements including completion of the JMAs;**

a. To accomplish this, we offer ourselves as willing to create such a communique and forward it to the CRC Canada Corp Board

**2. Address questions and information gaps related to the leadership structure outlined in SALT, and such details of the JMAs as can be shared;**

. To accomplish this, we again offer ourselves as willing to create such a communique and forward it to the CRC Canada Corp Board, requesting answers to those questions and following up with Classis on Canada Corp Board's responses.

**and**

**3. Canada consider initiating a study that examines the pros and cons of binational or international denomination and a distinct national denomination (in close fellowship)**

a. First, it should be noted that this study is neither for the purpose of creating a distinct Canadian CRC denomination, nor for the purpose of making the argument for remaining part of the CRCNA, but for the purpose of understanding the implications – positive and negative – of both.

b. This study ought to originate from the churches and classes and not from the current denominational structure and staff so as to ensure that no biases direct the study.

c. This study ought to ensure to gather data from current denominational structure representative and past and present staff and executive directors of Canadian Ministry to ensure that it is addressing all or any issues that are related to the challenges we have been facing as the CRC in Canada being part of a US-based denomination.

John